

•Presentation look and feel adapted from the "Brain" Microsoft Office Online template.

•Presentation complements the thesis.



- Slide is a caricature to some extent, but...
- Main point is that the resultant decomposition is different and that a new approach is warranted
- Moreover, functional decomposition is multi-realizable. Do we have the right compositions today?



- Parallel, interactive and non-hierarchical at this level of analysis
- Not traditional functional formulations
- Descriptive "filling-in" vs. explanative filling-in.



- Functions isomorphic to phenomena, e.g., filling-in function, have trouble when behaviour should not occur
  - They are the wrong functional decompositions
  - Filling-in should remain descriptive and not explanative
- Our explanative functions are finer grained and not explicitly about filling-in
  - Filling-in behaviour emerges when required



- Each of these lines of inquiry are ordinarily researched independently
  - Each has its own rich phenomenology
- Thesis is organized in a *phenomena first* manner so this presentation will not go into those details
  - This presentation is in a *model first* manner, how it differs from classical approaches, and its strengths

## **ECM Structural Overview**



• The building blocks forming ECM





• Each Receptive Field (RF) within ECM is an Emergic Unit

## Emergic Networks (EN)





- These are the structural elements forming a prototypical EN
  - Network, Units, Ports, Links
  - Structure of Values
  - Software
  - Ecological situation
- The only dynamics is the flow of values through the network
  - Includes ecological engagement through sensors and effectors



- Blue-free region
- Receptive field sizes that increase with eccentricity
- Random cone sensitivities
- "Random" cone positions



• Explain colour homogeneity and linearity

## Visual Realism Overview



- Non-representational interaction with the environment
- Biological sensory system



- Lateral is "Memory" that can be split/joined by shifting
- Data could be missing due to: heterogeneity; damage; eye blinks; occlusions; beyond the retina; etc.







• Lateral is "Memory" that can be split/joined by shifting



- Note: the spiking output of neurons alone or in a group does have statistical properties
- The SD is used for calculating spatial overlap between shifting values and static RFs



• Gives border/edge processing without specialized neural circuitry



- Each emergic unit has two independent yet interacting parts that lead to emergence
  - Four ports (2 in, 2 out) on the left hand side of each RF for shifting purposes
  - Four ports (3 in, 1 out) on the right hand side of each RF for surface LMS colours (\*3)



- Possible mechanisms and conceptualizations ought to influence how one measures for image stability.
- Flowcentric suggests an alternative measurement regime and coordinate system
  - Compatible with RF remapping phenomenology
- As all our flows interact coherently, images remain stable within the flow
  - Suggests the *flow* as a locus of consciousness





- The entire Emergic Network is synchronous and runs on small time increments called ticks, nominally 10ms each.
  - These are made small enough to simulate an asynchronous dynamic system
- Eyes in third column remain open for 50ms every 80ms.



• Borders are "respected" but not explicitly completed









• For top-most RF, ½ Red + ¼ blue overlap → lilac





- Top-down is often considered as "merely" modulatory
  - Surprisingly, there are typically more top-down (efferent/feedback) connections than bottom-up
- Memory is normally considered within a neuron, or via interlayer resonance
- All flows are equally important functionally